



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/520,130	03/07/2000	Robert Arathoon	P1099R2	1353

23552 7590 07/19/2005

MERCHANT & GOULD PC
P.O. BOX 2903
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

HOLLERAN, ANNE L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1643

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/520,130

Applicant(s)

ARATHOON ET AL.

Examiner

Anne Holleran

Art Unit

1643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/18/2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 47-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 47-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 4/18/2005 is acknowledged. Claims 47-63 are pending and examined on the merits.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections Withdrawn:

3. The rejection of claims 47-63 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.
4. The rejection of claims 59 and 61-63 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections Maintained:

Double Patenting

5. The provisional rejection of claims 47-63 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 30-51 of copending Application No. 09/863,693 is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicants have indicated

Art Unit: 1643

that upon an indication of allowable subject matter, a terminal disclaimer may be filed, if appropriate.

6. The provisional rejection of claims 47-63 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 39-49 of copending Application No. 09/373,403 is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicants have indicated that upon an indication of allowable subject matter, a terminal disclaimer may be filed, if appropriate.

7. The provisional rejection of claims 47-63 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No. 10/143,437 is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicants have indicated that upon an indication of allowable subject matter, a terminal disclaimer may be filed, if appropriate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. Claims 47-52 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement for the reasons of record. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered but fail to persuade. Applicants point to page 97, line 28 – page 98, line 3 as support for the concept of multispecific antibodies comprising more than one light chain, where the light chains have at least 98% sequence identity and only differ from one another at amino acid positions outside of the CDR regions. The passage pointed to appears to be a discussion concerning whether one may substitute one light chain for another in a specific scFv (“Alternatively, according to the invention, such light chains having 98-99% sequence identity with the light chain of a prospective paired scFv (Axl.78, for example) may be substituted with the paired light chain and retain binding specificity”). This sentence does not appear to be support for the concept of multispecific antibodies having more than one light chain, but instead appears to be support for making alternative versions of specific scFv molecules. Therefore, the rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

The original rejection is reiterated below:

The basis for this rejection is that the amendment to the specification to recite claims drawn to methods of making multispecific antibodies comprising binding domains, where the binding domains are made up of a heavy and light chain, and where the light chain is not the same for all of the binding domains is not supported by the specification. Therefore, the recitation of claim 30 “where the light chains of the first and additional polypeptides each have three CDR regions, and have at least 98% sequence identity and only differ from one another at amino acid positions outside of the CDR regions” is not supported by the specification as originally filed. The specification teaches methods of making multispecific antibodies, where each of the binding domains comprises a “common light chain”. The specification defines

Art Unit: 1643

“common light chain” or “common amino acid sequence of the light chain” on page 21, and as an amino acid sequence of *the* light chain in the multispecific antibody. There does not appear to be any contemplation of multispecific antibodies comprising more than one light chain (i.e., there appears to be only the contemplation that the same light chain is used for all of the binding domains present in the multispecific antibody). Even a difference of 1 amino acid between the two light chains results in a bispecific antibody having two different light chains, and there is no support in the specification that demonstrates that applicant conceived of a method of making multispecific antibodies having two different light chains. Other instances in the specification that indicate that applicant conceived of methods of making bispecific antibodies where all of the binding domains comprise a light chain having the same sequence is found at page 13, lines 14-21; page 22, line 15 – page 23, line 12; page 27, lines 2-5; page 56, lines 10-26; page 95, lines 25-28; and page 104, line 22 – page 105, line 26.

Applicants have pointed to passages (page 97-98) in the specification and assert that these passages provide support for the concept of multispecific antibodies comprising light chains where the light chains have at least 98% sequence identity to each other and only differ from one another at amino acid positions outside the CDR regions. However, this teaching of the specification appears to be directed to the process of selecting a light chain that will be used in the process of making a multispecific antibody (i.e. selecting a common light chain). The teachings on page 97 of the specification do not provide support for bispecific antibodies having two different light chains, but instead are directed to a process for identifying one light chain that may be useful in making a bispecific antibody. Applicant is reminded that the description requirement is severable from the enablement requirement.

Art Unit: 1643

New Grounds of Rejection—Necessitated by Amendment:

9. Claims 59 and 60 are objected to for the phrase “variable light chain domain”. This phrase, wherever it occurs, should be changed to “light chain variable domain” to maintain consistency of terminology.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Claims 47-63 are free of the prior art.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Office should be directed to Anne Holleran, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0833. Examiner Holleran can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached at (571) 272-0832.

Art Unit: 1643

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 571-1600.

Anne L. Holleran
Patent Examiner
July 11, 2005



GREGORY R. HELMS, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER